one subject, at once obscure and controversial, and this was of had a greater influence and elicited more response than ever Jung thought likely. All of these responses, however, concerned Gnosticism, occasioned by the visit of the dead to Jung in 1916, mann Hesse. It would seem that the little book of poetic poet Miguel Serrano in his lovely volume C.G. Jung and Herwas subsequently immortalized by the Chilean diplomat and tinued to exist between Jung and Hesse for many decades and unfolding young literary genius. A sympathetic connection conand may easily have passed a copy of the Sermons to the thenanalyst by the name of Lang treated Hesse around the year 1916 Gnosticism espoused by Hesse in Demian appears so uniquely during the two decades between the two world wars, the kind of figure in the Sermons. While Gnosticism was definitely in the air Abraxas, which closely resemble Jung's treatment of the same themes-notably some references to the Gnostic archetypal god Jungian that many suspected a connection. In fact, a Jungian

What in the World Are Gnostics?

The words Gnostic and Gnosticism are not exactly standard features in the vocabulary of contemporary people. In fact, more people are familiar with the antonym of Gnostic, which is agnostic, literally meaning a non-knower or ignoramus, but figuratively describing a person with no faith in religion who still resents being called an atheist. Yet Gnostics were around long before agnostics and for the most part appear to have been a far more exciting category of persons than the latter group. In contradistinction to non-knowers, they considered themselves knowers—gnostikoi in Greek—denoting those who have Gnosis or knowledge. Gnostics were people who lived, for the most part, during the first three or four centuries of the so-called Christian era. Most of them probably would not have called themselves by the name Gnostic but would have considered themselves Christians, or more rarely Jews, or as belonging to

in short, a depth-psychological process. do-goodism, but rather an interior insight and transformation and equally blind repression, nor works with their extravertee thus appears to be neither faith, with its emphasis on blind believe time highly psychological, for the meaning and purpose of life heart. This is obviously a religious concept that is at the same manner and therefore is called in at least one Gnostic writing supreme achievement of human life. This knowledge, or Gnosis, attainment of such knowledge must always constitute the existence is accessible to human beings, and, moreover, that the sonal and absolute knowledge of the authentic truths of attitude may be said to consist of the conviction that direct, pershared with each other a certain attitude toward life. This new religion, as their detractors claimed, but rather people who Rome. They were not sectarians or the members of a specific (the Gospel of Truth) the Gnosis kardias, the knowledge of the they did not envision as a rational knowledge of a scientific the traditions of the ancient cults of Egypt, Babylon, Greece and knowing that arises in the heart in an intuitive and mysterious kind, or even as philosophical knowledge of truth, but rather a

existence. The Gnostics did not deny the usefulness of the Torah assure perpetual beatitude beyond the confines of morta might be called the formation and strengthening of the certain type of personality which requires rules for what today or the magnificence of the figure of the Christos, the anointed of of rules. Neither could Gnosis be won by merely fervently believ. psychological ego. Neither did they negate the greatness of the the most high God. They regarded the Law as necessary for a mission of the mysterious personage whom in his disguise mer the burden of guilt and frustration from one's shoulders and ing that the sacrificial act of one divine man in history could lift **being** to man in exchange for the slave-like carrying out of a set treaty or covenant which guaranteed physical and spiritual wellbe acquired by striking a bargain with Yahweh, by concluding a knowledge of the heart, for which the Gnostics strove, could not teaching and practice of Jewish and Christian orthodoxy. The Gnostic teaching and practice was radically different from the psychologists, then it immediately becomes apparent why the If we come to envision the Gnostics as early depth

The grostic Img and the Seven Sermons to the Deal!

^{*}The reader is referred to pp. 93-95 in part III of the present work for more details of the relationship of Hermann Hesse to Jung and to the Seven Sermons to the Dead.

knew as the Rabbi Jehoshuah of Nazareth. The Law and the Savior, the two most highly revered concepts of Jew and Christian, became to the Gnostic but means to an end greater than themselves. These became inducements and devices which might, in some fashion, be conducive to personal knowing which, once attained, requires neither law nor faith. To them, as to Carl Jung many centuries later, theology and ethics were but stepping stones on the road to self-knowledge.

case of the Manichaen Gnosis, even Zoroastrians, Moslems and Buddhists) have hated and persecuted the Gnostics with a persis-Catholics, Protestants and the Eastern Orthodox (and, in the Jung and on Gnosticism indicate. Jews and Christians, companion in misfortune, as the attacks of Martin Buber on regarded the most persecuted religious minority in history as a representatives of the victims of the latest holocaust have no ségur in France, an event which Laurence Durell described as the of over 200 latter-day Gnostics in 1244 in the castle of Montextended none of the benefits of Christian love to them. Long tent determination Thermopylae of the Gnostic soul. Still some prominent history. The last major persecution concluded with the burning their first holocausts to be followed by many more through began the practice of religious genocide against the Gnostics, before Hitler, the Emperor Constantine and his cruel bishops nicious of all heretics, and the age of ecumenism seems to have books of theology still refer to them as the first and most pergroup has been so relentlessly and consistently feared and hated only forgotten (as one of its interpretors, G. R. S. Mead, called for nearly two millenia as were the unhappy Gnostics. Textit) but also a faith and a truth repressed. It seems that almost no Gnostics. During these centuries Gnosticism became a faith not Some seventeen or eighteen centuries separate us from the

Why? Was it only because their antinomianism or disregard for moral law scandalized the rabbis, or because their doubts concerning the physical incarnation of Jesus and their reinterpretation of the resurrection angered the priests? Was it because they rejected marriage and procreation, as some of their detractors claim? Were they abhorred because of licentiousness and orgies, as others allege? Or might it be that perhaps the Gnostics

truly had some knowledge, and that this knowledge rendered them supremely dangerous to establishments both secular and ecclesiastical?

emerge from this meaningless life of conformity we can, being obedient children, diligent husbands, dutiful cealed within its operations, no matter how difficult to discern. subject to conscious choices and insights. The most important among these assumptions, which may be said to sum up all ing against hope that a revelation of meaning will somehow wives, well-behaved butchers, bakers, candlestick-makers, hop-God's world, and thus it must have meaning and goodness conand toward the laws which seem to govern them. In spite of the Thus we must go on fulfilling our role within the system as besi incumbent upon us to go along with the world, for it is, after all, history, both collective and individual, we will believe it to be incredible sequences, by-ways, repetitious insanities of human countless illogical and malevolent events of our lives, the less characterized by submissiveness toward external conditions assumption leads to a host of others, all of which are more or ment in it is somehow desirable and ultimately beneficial. This others, is the belief that the world is good and that our involvebiology rather than by psychology; it is automatic rather than deep, unconscious substratum of the mind. This mind is ruled by nourish certain unconscious assumptions pertaining to the tive of their religious and philosophical beliefs, most people sense of the word, for it went back to the root (Latin: Radix) of focused agencies of consciousness but which radiate from a and its purpose. Their divergence was a radical one in the truest human condition which do not spring from the formulative, humankind's assumptions and attitudes regarding life. Irrespecbut in their most essential and fundamental view of existence saying that the Gnostics differed from the majority of must be made, nevertheless. We might essay such an answer by humankind, not only in details of belief and of ethical precept. It is not easy to give a reply to this question, but an attempt

Not so, said the Gnostics. Money, power, governments, the raising of families, paying of taxes, the endless chain of entrapment in circumstances and obligations—none of these were ever rejected as totally and unequivocally in human history as they

spiritual welfare of the human soul. On the contrary, they are more often than not the very shackles which keep us from our ments are, in reality, not in the least conducive to the true allegiances, as well as moral and ethical rules and commandsociety, church, trade and profession, political and patriotic true spiritual destiny. wants him to be nor by doing what it wants him to do. Family reality. No one comes to his true selfhood by being what society but shadowy projections of another and more fundamental so deadly and terrible that the rulers of this world—i.e., the experience. Thus the Gnostics were, in fact, knowers of a secret cerned the entire prevailing systematization of life and political or economic revolution could, or even should, do away this: that human life does not fulfill its promise within the structheir domain. Indeed the Gnostics knew something, and it was secret known and, even less, to have it publicly proclaimed in established systems of society-could not afford to have this powers, secular and religious, who always profited from the ment or form of ownership in favor of another; rather it conwith all the iniquitous elements within the system wherein the were by the Gnostics. The Gnostics never hoped that any tures and establishments of society, for all of these are at best human soul is entrapped. Their rejection was not of one govern-

of our souls. deny it in practice. Religions usually begin as movements of mation of the mind, with its natural consequence—a changed atand the social philosopher may look upon the world as a probradical liberation along spiritual lines but inevtitude toward the world. Most religions also tend to affirm a tricate ourselves by insight. For Gnostics, like psychologists, de good spiritual theology because it is good sense. The politician itably end up as pillars of the very societies which are the jailers their presence within the establishments of society, they always not aim at the transformation of the world but at the transforment, recognizes it as a predicament from which we need to exlem to be solved, but the Gnostic, with his psychological discerndays, and even today is often called "world denying" and "anti familiar attitude of internalism in theory, but, as the result of life," but it is, of course, merely good psychology as well as This feature of Gnosticism was regarded as heretical in older

> as outright good. extent that we find a condemnation of the world in Gnostic earth itself, which they recognized as a screen upon which the of systems. When the Gnostics said that the system around them never the word ge (earth), which they regarded as neutral if not writings, the term used is inevitably kosmos, or this aeon, and ego. Like Jung, the Gnostics did not necessarily reject the actual precursor and an inevitable precondition of the individuated and more mature personality emerges. The alienated ego is the achieve even a measure of individuation, through which a wider closer union with the unconscious. Until we become thoroughly aware of the inadequacy of our extraverted state and of its insufego must first become thoroughly aware of its own alienation an old Gnostic insight when he said that the extraverted human since rediscovered by modern depth psychology. Jung restated Demiurge of the mind projects his deceptive system. To the ficiency in regard to our deeper spiritual needs, we shall no from the greater Self before it can begin to return to a state of ners of innumerable alienated drop-outs from St. Francis to the truth and discover meaning, they acted, not only as the forerunwas evil and that one had to get away from it in order to know quently mistranslated as world, really denote more the concept word kosmos, as well as the Hebrew word olam, while frebeatniks and hippies, but they also stated a psychological fact the false cosmos created by our conditioned minds. The Greek renders human beings free, we must disentangle ourselves from If we wish to obtain Gnosis, the knowledge of the heart that

It was on this knowledge, the knowledge one has in one's heart concerning the spiritual barrenness and utter insufficiency of the establishments and established values of the outer world, that the Gnostics relied in order to construct both an image of universal being and a system of coherent inferences to be drawn from that image. (As one might expect, they accomplished this less in terms of philosophy and theology than in myth, ritual, and cultivation of the mythopoetic and imaginative qualities of their souls.) Like so many sensitive and thoughtful persons before and after their time, they felt themselves to be strangers in a strange country, a forlorn seed of the distant worlds of boundless light. Some, like the alienated youth of the 1960's withdrew into communes and hermitages, marginal com-

munities on the edge of civilization. Others, more numerous perhaps, remained in the midst of the great metropolitan culture of the large cities like Alexandria and Rome, outwardly fulfilling their roles in society while inwardly serving a different master—in the world but not of the world. Most of them possessed learning, culture and wealth, yet they were aware of the undeniable fact that all such attainments and treasures pale before the Gnosis of the heart, the knowledge of the things that are. Little wonder that the wizard of Küstnacht who, since his early childhood, sought and found his own Gnosis, felt close to these strange and lonely people, these pilgrims of eternity, homeward bound among the stars.